

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/680,747  | 10/07/2003  | Elena Casellini      | BST-10302/38        | 5365             |  |
| 25006 7590 03/15/2007<br>GIFFORD, KRASS, SPRINKLE, ANDERSON & CITKOWSKI, P.C<br>PO BOX 7021 |             |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
|   |             |                      | GALL, LLOYD A       |                  |  |
| TROY, MI 48007-7021   |             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|   |             |                      | 3676                |                  |  |
|   |             |                      |                     |                  |  |
|   |             |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
| •   |             | •                    | 03/15/2007          | PAPER            |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

| Application No. | Applicant(s)     |  |
|-----------------|------------------|--|
| 10/680,747      | CASELLINI ET AL. |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit         |  |
| Lloyd A. Gall   | 3676             |  |

| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief   | Examiner   | Art Unit  |   |
|--|--|---|---|
|  | Lloyd A. Gall  | 3676  |   |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app   | ears on the cover sheet with the c   | correspondence add  | ress  |
| THE REPLY FILED 19 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS   |  |   |   |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or this application, applicant must timely file one of the foll places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comfollowing time periods:   | on the same day as filing a Notice of lowing replies: (1) an amendment, a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in pliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep   | of Appeal. To avoid at<br>offidavit, or other evidence<br>ompliance with 37 (                 | ence, which<br>CFR 41.31; or                            |
| a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Acevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07.                            | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th<br>han SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o<br>b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F   | f the final rejection.  |   |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date o been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mont parent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | n which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a<br>and the corresponding amount of the fee.<br>statutory period for reply originally set in the<br>ths after the mailing date of the final rejection | The appropriate extension of the stand office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, market | on fee under 37<br>as set forth in (b)<br>ay reduce any |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in cor of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must  | extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)   | ), to avoid dismissal (   | of the appeal.  |
| AMENDMENTS   |  |   |   |
| 3.  ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further of (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE be)   | consideration and/or search (see NC  | of, will <u>not</u> be entered<br>OTE below);   | because .   |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in b appeal; and/or   | etter form for appeal by materially r  |   | the issues for  |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling<br>NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1  |  | ejected claims.   |   |
| The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1   |  | ompliant Amendmen   | t (PTOL-324).   |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(   | (s):   |   |   |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be<br/>the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>  |  |   |   |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   | a) ⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ v<br>rovided below or appended.  | vill be entered and an  | explanation of  |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:  |  | •   |   |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9 and 11-19</u> .  |  |   |   |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |  |   |   |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  | but before or on the date of filing a and sufficient reasons why the affida  | Notice of Appeal will gavit or other evidence   | not be entered<br>is necessary                          |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necess.  | overcome all rejections under appe   | eal and/or appellant fa   | ails to provide a                                       |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanat<br>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   |  |   |   |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered to   | but does NOT place the application   | in condition for allow  | ance because:   |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s   | s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  | Lloyd A. Gall   | ale   |
|  | •  | Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3676   |   |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

\*Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new amendments require further consideration. In particular, applicant is now positively claiming a securement including a key and a key ring in independent claims 1 and 5. Claims 1 and 5 are also now limited to a metal material. These amendments render the preamble of claims 12-19 unclear, since they claim only a cover, and claim 11 would also be unclear in claiming a first and a second key, since claim 1 from which it depends now claims a key and a key ring. Further, claims 16-19 would also be unclear, since claim 1 would now be limited to a metal material.